

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,911	01/30/2004	Frederic Sgier	0573-1008	4613
466	7590 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET		SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
2ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22202		3733	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TWA	

		TUR
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistant Court	10/766,911	SGIER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard R. Shaffer	3731
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	· .	·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21.	June 2004.	
	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matters,	prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application) .	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/ar		ted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received in Applic	ation No
Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified copies not rece	ived.

Attachment(s)

1) 🛭	✓ Notic	e of I	References	Cited	(PTO-892)	١
------	---------	--------	------------	-------	-----------	---

Paper	No(s)/Mail	Date	<u>6/21/0-</u>	4
-------	-----	---------	------	----------------	---

4) [Interview Summary (PTO-413)
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PT

O-152)

	• •	O41
6)		Other:

<sup>Notice of Preferences Cited (1 10-052)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/21/04.</sup>

Application/Control Number: 10/766,911

Art Unit: 3731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacques (FR 2,801,492) in view of Vignaud, et al (US Patent 5,176,680) and in further view of Schlapfer, et al (US Patent 5,501,684).

Jacques discloses a vertebral arthrodesis device (Figures 7 and 8) with a pin (5), anchoring part (6), a cavity (11) to receive the pin (5), the head of the anchoring part with two lateral threaded holes (15), the cavity (11) snapping onto the pin (5) by perpendicular flexing (relative to the longitudinal axis of the arthrodesis device) of the supports (10), the flexion capable because of two inward pointing slots (Figure 8) in the head of the anchoring part (6), the head of the anchor part extending perpendicular to the top opening of the cavity, and tightening means (4) which overlaps the pin and clamps it down into the anchoring part with two lateral holes that correspond to the two in the anchoring part.

Jacques fails to disclose lateral undercuts to allow pivoting, a ring placed along the pin, and a cavity capable of securing the pin (spinal rod) with a ring about it.

Vignaud, et al teaches a similar device with a bone-anchoring portion (1), a split ring (9) slidable along the length of the spinal rod (6), clamping means (7, 8, and 18), and lateral

Art Unit: 3731

undercuts (Figure 1 and 3, the areas of parts 5 and 17). The ring and lateral undercuts allow for pivoting of the spinal rod as shown in Figure 2. Schlapfer, et al teaches in Figure 2 a sliding ring to allow pivoting of the screw in a bone fixation device longitudinal cuts none of which go through entirely, but initiate at alternating ends of the ring. This allows greater flexibility of the ring while maintaining integrity. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Jacques with the teachings of Vignaud, et al and Schlapfer, et al to allow flexibility of the spinal rod maintaining integrity. In addition, if one were to modify Jacques device, dimensions and tolerances of the components would require correction. Thus, either the cavity would need to be larger if the same size of spinal rod utilized, or the spinal rod smaller which is also well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-5 (Mon-Fri, every other Fri off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,911

Art Unit: 3731

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rrs

10/11/2005

Richard Shaffer

DAVID O. REIP PRIMARY EXAMINER